

10732

municipal
wastewater
sludge
combustion
technology

G. 10732

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This seminar publication contains material prepared in conjunction with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's International Conference on Thermal Conversion of Municipal Sludge. This conference was held in Hartford, Connecticut, March 21-24, 1983. Major contributors to information in this publication are listed below by chapter.

Chapter I, Sludge Incineration Facilities. D.C. Bergstedt, Consultant, Pleasant Hill, CA; R.I. Dick, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY; K.S. Feindler, Quantum Assocs., Wheatley Heights, NY; S. Kyosai, Japanese Ministry of Construction, Tsukuba, Japan; C.H. Nels, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin, West Germany; J.L. Smith, Jr., Center for Environmental Research Information, EPA, Cincinnati, OH.

Chapter II, Improving Sludge Incineration Methods. R.G. Forbes, Zimpro/Hydro Clear, Rothschild, WI; P.F. Gilbert, Metropolitan District of Hartford County, Hartford, CT; R.T. Haug, Consultant, Torrance, CA; S. Kyosai, Japanese Ministry of Construction, Tsukuba, Japan; F.M. Lewis, Consultant, Mountain View, CA; J.P. Micketts and T. Watts, Envirotech Operating Services, Greenwood, IN and Gulf Breeze, FL, respectively; C.H. Nels, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin, West Germany; C.J. Wall, Dorr Oliver, Inc., Stamford, CT; and E. Waltz, Indianapolis Center for Advanced Research, Indianapolis, IN.

Chapter III, Cocombustion of Sludge and Solid Wastes. E.G. Carlson and A. Baturay, Carlson Assocs. Technical Services, Redwood City, CA; D.C. Bergstedt, Consultant, Pleasant Hill, CA; W.F. Cosulich, W.F. Cosulich Assocs., Woodbury, NY; K.S. Feindler, Quantum Assocs., Wheatley Heights, NY; K.M. Koch, Greeley & Hansen, Inc., Philadelphia, PA; S. Kyosai, Japanese Ministry of Construction, Tsukuba, Japan; C.H. Nels, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin, West Germany; J.A. Semon, City of Stamford, Stamford, CT; L. van der Burg, Gevudo, Dordrecht, Holland.

Chapter IV, The Management of Ash and Air Emissions. E.G. Carlson and A. Baturay, Carlson Assocs. Technical Services, Redwood City, CA; D.C. Bergstedt, Consultant, Pleasant Hill, CA; J.B. Farrell, Municipal Environmental Research Laboratory, EPA, Cincinnati, OH; S. Kyosai, Japanese Ministry of Construction, Tsukuba, Japan; C.H. Nels, Umweltbundesamt, Berlin, West Germany; L. van der Burg, Gevudo, Dordrecht, Holland.

Chapter V, The Role of Regulatory Agencies. R.K. Bastian and C. Spooner, Office of Water, EPA, Washington, D.C. and H.T. Chase, Dept. of Environmental Protection, State of New Jersey, Trenton, NJ;

The following individuals also contributed to this publication by making a technical review and/or providing more complete or updated information: O.E. Alberton, Enviro Enterprises, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT; R. Avenet, Greenhorne & O'Mara, Inc., Riverdale, MD; H. Bastian, C.A. Brunner, Howard Wall, and L. Rossman, Water Engineering Research Laboratory, EPA, Cincinnati, OH; J.L. Smith Jr. and O.E. Macomber, Center for Environmental Research Information, EPA, Cincinnati, OH; C.S. Spooner, Office of Water, EPA, Washington, D.C.; D.C. Bergstedt, Consultant, Pleasant Hill, CA; B.E. Burris, Culp/Wesner/Culp, Santa Ana, CA; B. de Vries, Quad Environmental Technologies Corp., Kettering, OH; P. Doe, Havens & Emerson, Inc., Saddle Brook, NJ; DS Garvey, City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA; S. Howard, Lurgi Corp., Belmont, CA; T. Kato, NGK-Locke, Inc., New York, NY; M.A. Kreiser, Swatara Township Authority, Hummelstown, PA; J. Klein, Metro WWTP, St. Paul, MN.

CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
INTRODUCTION	ix
I. SLUDGE INCINERATION FACILITIES	I-1
Structure and Function of Major Furnace Types	I-1
Wastewater Sludge Incineration in the United States	I-10
Wastewater Sludge Incineration in European Countries	I-10
Wastewater Sludge Incineration in the Federal Republic of Germany	I-10
Wastewater Sludge Incineration in Japan	I-27
II. IMPROVING SLUDGE INCINERATION METHODS	II-1
Incinerator Design Practices	II-1
Dewatering Sludge	II-10
Drying Sludge Before Combustion	II-15
Fuel Needs	II-18
Upgrading Existing MHFs to Reduce Fuel Needs	II-20
Autogenous Combustion	II-26
Superautogenous (High-Calorific) Combustion	II-31
Waste Heat Recovery Equipment	II-35
III. COCOMBUSTION OF SLUDGE AND SOLID WASTES	III-1
Introduction	III-1
Combustors Suitable for Codisposal	III-2
U.S. Coincineration Sites	III-3
Types of Codisposal Systems in the U.S. and Europe	III-12
U.S. and European Codisposal Incinerator Sites	III-15
Comparative Evaluation of Seven European Combustion Sites	III-34
IV. THE MANAGEMENT OF AIR EMISSIONS, ASH, AND OTHER RESIDUALS	IV-1
Introduction	IV-1
Nature of the Challenge	IV-1
Air Emissions Control	IV-4
Control of Slagging and Clinker Formation	IV-19
The Role of Regulatory Agencies	IV-21
Project Delays Encountered for Sludge Combustion Facilities	IV-22
V. EMERGING TECHNOLOGY	V-1
Gasification	V-1
Simplex-S Process	V-5
Gasification of Densified Sludge and Wastepaper in a Downdraft Packed-Bed Gasifier ..	V-5
Liquefaction	V-11
Converting Sludge Solids to Fuel Oil - the Battelle	
Northwest Process	V-14
Converting Sludge to Oil by Hydroliquefaction	V-17
Wet Oxidation - The Vertical Tube Reactor	V-19

CONTENTS (Cont'd)

	Page
Combustion - The Hyperion Energy Recovery System	V-24
Starved-Air Combustion and Pyrolysis	V-34
Combined MH/FB Process	V-41
Melting (Slagging) Furnaces in Japan	V-42
New Methods of Wastewater Sludge Incineration in Japan	V-43

TABLES

		Page
I-1	Distribution of Sludge Combustion Facilities by State and Type	I-11
I-2	Operational Status of Various Types of Installations	I-13
I-3	Distribution of Sludge Combustion Systems by Plant Size	I-13
I-4	Multiple-Hearth Sludge Incineration Facilities in the United States	I-14
I-5	Fluidized-Bed Sludge Incineration Facilities in the United States	I-21
I-6	Electric Incineration Facilities in the United States	I-24
I-7	Rotary Kiln Incineration Facilities	I-25
I-8	Facilities Combusting Sludge in a Refuse Incinerator	I-25
I-9	Total Production of Nonstabilized Wastewater Sludge	I-26
I-10	The Number and Types of Sludge Incinerators in Japan	I-28
II-1	Moisture-to-Volatile Ratio for Hartford Incinerator Operations	II-14
II-2	Improving Dewatering by Steam Injection—Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant No. 20, Kansas City KS	II-16
II-3	Supplemental Energy Sources	II-19
II-4	Successful Waste Heat Recovery Installations	II-36
III-1	Average Quantities of Refuse-Derived Fuel, Sludge, and Fuel Incinerated During the Performance Tests	III-10
III-2	Ash and Bed Analysis	III-10
III-3	Results of Emission Tests and Exhaust Gas Composition	III-11
III-4	U.S. Codisposal Incinerators of the DD-SF Type	III-16
III-5	U.S. Codisposal Incinerators of the DD-GF Type	III-18
III-6	U.S. Codisposal Incinerators of the ID-GF Type	III-19
III-7	European Codisposal Incinerators of the DD-SF Type	III-20
III-8	European Codisposal Incinerators of the ID-SF Type	III-23

TABLES (Cont'd)

		Page
III-9	European Codisposal Incinerators of the DD-GF Type	III-24
III-10	European Codisposal Incinerators of the ID-CF Type	III-30
IV-1	Plant Air Emission Offsets for HERS System	IV-8
IV-2	Emission Gas Standards for Sewage Sludge Incinerators by Air Pollution Control Law (Japan)	IV-14
IV-3	National Environmental Standards for Ambient Air Quality and Compliance Rates (Japan)	IV-17
IV-4	Odorous Substance Limits According to Offensive Odor Control Law of 1971 (Japan)	IV-18
IV-5	Odorous Substances and Their Odor Strengths (Japan)	IV-18
IV-6	Six Grades of Odor Strength (Japan)	IV-19
V-1	Gasification Technologies Capable of Producing Fuel Gas	V-3
V-2	Summary of Major Liquefaction Technologies	V-12
V-3	Average Percent Reductions of Solid Parameters for Sludges	V-23
V-4	Sludge-Derived Fuel Characteristics of the HERS Process	V-27
V-5	Air Emission Constraints on Design of HERS Fluidized-Bed Combustion System	V-27
V-6	Air Emission Balance for HERS	V-28
V-7	New Wastewater Sludge Technologies Used in Japan	V-44

FIGURES

		Page
I-1	Cross Section of a Multiple-Hearth Furnace	I-3
I-2	Interior Cutaway View of a Multiple-Hearth Furnace	I-4
I-3	Process Zones in a Multiple-Hearth Furnace	I-5
I-4	Cross Section of a Fluidized-Bed Furnace	I-6
I-5	Flowsheet for Sludge Incineration in a Fluidized-Bed Furnace	I-7
I-6	Cross Section of an Electric (Infrared) Furnace	I-9
II-1	Heat and Material Balances Using 23,000 kJ/g (10,000 Btu/lb) Combustibles	II-6
II-2	Heat and Material Balances Using 26,000 kJ/g (11,000 Btu/lb) Combustibles	II-7
II-3	Schematic Process for a Four-Evaporative Effect Carver-Greenfield Process as Designed for HERS Project	II-12
II-4	Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant No. 1, Kansas City, KS	II-15
II-5	Hartford, CT, Incinerator System Schematic	II-22
II-6	Specific Fuel Consumption VS Sludge Cake M/V Ratio Before and After Incinerator Operating Mode Change at the Hartford Plant	II-26
II-7	Average Specific Fuel Consumption for the Hartford Operations, 1978-82	II-27
II-8	Schematic of the Cyclo-Hearth® Multiple-Hearth Furnace	II-32
II-9	Modified Cyclo-Hearth® Furnace Configuration	II-34
III-1	Sludge/RDF Waste to Energy Flow Sheet	III-8
III-2	Codisposal Incinerators of the DD-SF Type	III-13
III-3	Flow Sheet of the Dordrecht Installation	III-32
IV-1	Heavy Metals Flow in the Multiple-Hearth Furnace at Dordrecht	IV-12
IV-2	Heavy Metals Flow at the Dordrecht STP	IV-13
V-1	Flow Diagram for a Complete Sludge/Wastepaper Gasification System	V-6
V-2	Schematic of UCD Civil Engineering Gasifier	V-7

FIGURES (Cont'd)

		Page
V-3	Phase Diagram for a $\text{CaO-Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-SiO}_2$ System	V-8
V-4	Simplified Process Diagram for Sludge Liquefaction	V-16
V-5	VTR Pilot Plant	V-20
V-6	VTR Temperature vs Time Profile	V-21
V-7	Average Percent Reduction in Solids, 30-Minute Reaction Time	V-22
V-8	Average Percent Reduction in Solids, 60-Minute Reaction Time	V-23
V-9	Schematic HERS for Management of Sewage Sludges with Energy Recovery from the Renewable Sludge Organics	V-25
V-10	HERS System for Fluidized-Bed Gasification of SDF, Staged Combustion Afterburning, Waste Heat Recovery, and Air Pollution Control	V-30
V-11	Mass and Energy Balance for One Train of the HERS Sludge Combustion Facility Operating at Year 2000 Design Lead of 120.2 Mg/d (132.5 dtpd) of SDA and Sludge Oil	V-31
V-12	Process Diagram for the Energy Recovery Elements of the HERS Project	V-33
V-13	Plot of Theoretical Temperature of Products of Combustion vs Percent Stoichiometric Air	V-36
V-14	Two Different Combustion Paths	V-37
V-15	Autogenous Sludge	V-38
V-16	A Typical Thermally Conditioned Sludge	V-39
V-17	A "Hot Sludge"	V-40

INTRODUCTION

This publication contains material prepared in association with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's International Conference on Thermal Conversion of Municipal Sludge. The conference was held in Hartford, Connecticut, March 21-24, 1983.

This publication describes and evaluates the various municipal sludge combustion systems. It also emphasizes the necessity for considering and evaluating the costs involved in the total sludge management train, including dewatering, combustion, air pollution control, and ash disposal processes. It is intended to supplement but not replace EPA technology transfer publications on sludge treatment and disposal, dewatering municipal wastewater sludges, municipal sludge landfills, and land application of municipal sludge. It also answers questions that have been raised about incineration as a means of processing sludge solids for ultimate disposal and presents factual answers supported by case histories.

The primary objectives of this document are (1) to assess the current status of municipal sludge combustion technology as to performance of in-place systems, environmental concerns, and regulatory agency viewpoints; (2) to determine what needs to be done to make municipal sludge combustion more economical, including upgrading the performance of present and future systems; and (3) to discuss technology in the R&D stage.

Many different, plausible schemes exist for treating municipal wastewater treatment plant sludge, but no single method is appropriate for all municipalities. Sludge properties, project size, and location are the primary considerations that enter into the identification of prudent approaches to sludge management. Common to all is the need to concentrate the collected solids and then to process them to minimize any adverse impact on the environment in ultimate disposal. Sludge concentration can be characterized in two steps: (1) the solids are taken out of the wastewater so that the plant's discharge permit can be met, and (2) a portion of the remaining water is removed from the solids so that processing for ultimate disposal can be achieved economically. This latter step becomes very important economically if a combustion process is chosen.

Sludge management is a difficult environmental control problem. The complexity of sludge processing decisionmaking is caused by factors such as the diversity of sludge characteristics, the wide range of processes available for use in sludge management, the interrelations between those processes, the interactions between the solids handling and wastewater treatment processes, the potential environmental and public health effects of sludge solids, the frequently high capital and operating costs involved, and the limitations imposed by concerns of the public.

However, given the quantities of wastewater sludge generated annually, an effort to reduce costs causes us to examine whether the energy potential of this sludge could be exploited by utilizing it in thermal processes. It is estimated that approximately 19 kg organic dry solids of wastewater sludge ($0.080 \text{ kg} \times 365 \times 0.65$) are generated annually per inhabitant. If one considers that 1 kg of organic dry substance may have a calorific value of about 25,000 kJ (about 7 kWh), the energy value can be calculated to be about $19 \times 7 = 133$ kWh per inhabitant per year. Excessive auxiliary fuel is necessary if dewatering is not performed effectively. This is a consequence of the high energy requirements for water evaporation. As experience indicates, the successful utilization of wastewater sludge energy often means solving the problem of sludge dewatering. It takes far less energy to mechanically dewater sludge before incineration than it does to evaporate the same amount of water during incineration.